J. J. SCALIGER'S EURIPIDEAN MARGINALIAI

This paper completes a small but long desiderated act of restitution to the Euripidean scholarship of J. J. Scaliger (1540–1609).

In 1694 Joshua Barnes published at Cambridge a complete edition of Euripides; he included either in his text or notes a number of conjectures transcribed from marginalia in a copy of W. Canter's Euripides (Antwerp, 1571) owned successively by Scaliger, his pupil Daniel Heinsius (c. 1580–1655), to whom Scaliger bequeathed the book, and Jan Rutgers (1589–1625). The book passed to the Bodleian Library at Oxford (it has now the Catalogue no. Auct. S. 5. 1); in the early nineteenth century P. Elmsley re-examined it for his editions of Medea and Bacchae, and complained that Barnes had not only failed to report all Scaliger's conjectures but frequently disguised the source of those he had noted or even silently appropriated them to himself.²

Elmsley supplemented and corrected Barnes's report for *Medea* and *Bacchae* but not for *Heraclidae* which he also edited.³ His warning about Barnes's negligence or dishonesty was repeated by A. Matthiae, who was then gradually publishing his complete edition of Euripides;⁴ Matthiae was unable to examine Scaliger's book for himself. In all the other plays those of Scaliger's conjectures which Barnes did not transcribe remained unpublished,⁵ and those he did transcribe but concealed under anonymity or his own name remained concealed because editors after Matthiae simply perpetuated the ascriptions which Matthiae could only repeat from Barnes. In 1969, however, R. Kannicht published an edition of *Helena* for which he had re-examined Scaliger's marginalia and restored his name to its full honour in the apparatus; and he renewed Elmsley's warning against Barnes.⁶ I was prompted therefore in 1972 to make the same restitution for my forthcoming edition of *Supplices* and have since collated all Scaliger's marginalia against Barnes's edition for the purpose of this paper.

There are unfortunately complications to a straightforward report of conjectures neglected, disguised, or appropriated by Barnes. The Bodleian Library

- ¹ Dr. J. Diggle kindly criticized a draft of this paper.
- ² P. Elmsley, Euripidis Medea (Oxford, 1813¹), 78 n. s (= Leipzig 1822² inaccessible to me) = Euripidis Heraclidae et Medea (Leipzig, 1828), 247 n. t; cf. Euripidis Bacchae (Oxford, 1821¹), 10 n. h and 182 (Addendum on vs. 261). Where Barnes acknowledged these conjectures he attributed them variously to Scaliger, to 'codex ille Heinsio-Scaligerianus', or to 'alii', 'alias', 'viri docti' and the like.

Barnes's report of conjectures by John Milton written in a copy of the ed. Stephaniana (Paris, 1602), also now held by the Bodleian Library at Catalogue no. Don. d. 27/8, was similarly negligent and dishonest: see the supplementary reports in Museum Criticum (Cambridge, 1826), i. 283–91, and M. Kelley, S. D. Atkins, J. Eng. Germ. Phil. lx (1961),

- 680-7; there is still a small residue of unpublished conjectures by Milton, but few are likely to prove important.
- ³ Oxford, 1813¹, Leipzig, 1821², cf. n. 2 above. Elmsley prepared his edition of *Heraclidae* before he went to live permanently in Oxford.
- 4 A. Matthiae, Euripidis Tragoediae etc. (Leipzig, 1813-36), VI (1821), xiv.
- 5 Matthiae records 3 Scaligeran conjectures in Cyclops which Barnes did not transcribe (15 λαχών and 394 τἄλλα . . . κλάδων) or disguised (512 καλὸς: 'alii' Barnes); I cannot trace Matthiae's source for the ascriptions.
- ⁶ R. Kannicht, Euripides Helena (Heidelberg, 1969), i. 116 f., 119. Kannicht, 118 f., gives an ironically restrained account of Barnes's pretentious edition.

holds a second *Euripides* owned and annotated by Scaliger: a copy of Hervagius's second edition (Basel, 1544; Catalogue no. Auct. S. 4. 11) signed on its title-page in 1560 by Scaliger and afterwards by G. J. Voss (1577–1649) as acquired by him at the auction of Scaliger's books. It contains many *marginalia*, in various hands, most of them readings or annotations from earlier editions or works.¹ There is however a scattering of conjectures in the distinctively bold hand of Scaliger, exactly comparable with his writing in the Canter *Euripides*; and in both books Scaliger's notes are distinguished from the rest by being almost everywhere underlined (I do not know if this was an idiosyncrasy).

These other and presumably earlier conjectures are much fewer than the later, and in many places the two sets correspond. Elmsley noted them for his *Medea* and *Bacchae* but did not distinguish them from the later set except in one respect, which I come to next; Kannicht has not reported them at all for *Helena*² and I myself had not the time to collate them or appreciate their possible importance when I compiled my *apparatus* for *Supplices*.³

Elmsley showed4 that Barnes had printed some of Scaliger's earlier conjectures, but under the name of J. Duport (1606-79, a predecessor of Barnes in the Regius Chair of Greek at Cambridge). He argued that their attribution to Duport must stem from some kind of error or misunderstanding, and that Barnes could not have seen Scaliger's ed. Hervagiana for himself. The number of earlier conjectures thus consistently misreported is large enough to make it certain that Duport could only have got them from Scaliger (unless a third person took part); but there is no compelling reason to suppose that Duport deliberately falsified their source, and Barnes may well have been working from imprecise notes left by Duport at his death. As far as I can establish, coincidence between conjectures by Scaliger and Duport's name in Barnes's edition is confined to marginalia in the ed. Hervagiana, except in two places: at Ion 390 and 1171 Barnes ascribes to Duport conjectures which Scaliger made only in his ed. Canteriana. Since Barnes saw these two conjectures in Scaliger's own hand it is likely that his ascription of them to Duport is a further instance of his carelessness rather than tenuous evidence of Duport having seen both Scaliger's books; alternatively, it is just possible that Duport made the two conjectures independently, since Barnes records some others which appear to be original to him.

A number of Scaliger's conjectures in his ed. Canteriana stand in Matthiae's apparatus under the name of Isaac Voss (1618–89, the son of G. J. Voss). Matthiae includes in his Index codicum a copy of Canter's Euripides owned by I. Voss and in Matthiae's day held in the University Library at Leiden; it had numerous marginalia, many of them said by Matthiae to correspond with those in Scaliger's ed. Hervagiana bought by Voss's father. All the readings or conjectures ascribed to Voss come from this book, so that it seems that I. Voss annotated his own Euripides from both Scaliger's books, from the

¹ For this book (which omits *Electra*, still awaiting its first printed publication by Victorius in 1546) and particularly the source of the manuscript marginalia in it ascribed on the title page to Girolamo Maggio (died 1572), see Elmsley, *Medea*, loc. cit. and *Praefatio*, *Bacchae*, 10 n. h; Matthiae VI, viii and xiv; Kannicht, i. 116

- n. II.
- ² Because in *Helena* they correspond almost exactly with the later set: *Helena* i. 116 n. 11.
- ³ For their occasional importance see, for example, my collation at *Held.* 172, *An.* 53, *Su.* 495, *Hl.* 1575.
 - 4 Bacchae 182 (Addendum on vs. 261).

ed. Hervagiana after his father got it, and at some other opportunity from the ed. Canteriana.

The following collation, which supplements and corrects apparatus critici, takes account of both sets of Scaliger's marginalia. It includes, first, the conjectures from his ed. Canteriana which Barnes did not report at all, or disguised, or appropriated, except in Medea and Bacchae, where Elmsley has made the restitution, and in Helena, where Kannicht has supplied everything of importance that Barnes omitted; second, the conjectures from his ed. Hervagiana which I have felt confident in attributing to his hand,² again except in Medea and Bacchae, where Elmsley noted them. I have not reported from either book conjectures which simply restored manuscript readings or corrections in manuscripts by later hands, e.g. Byzantine or humanist scholars, which Scaliger may or may not have known; nor purely orthographic corrections, e.g. of $\delta \dot{\eta} \nu \hat{\nu} \nu$ to $\delta \dot{\eta} \nu \nu \nu$; nor conjectures already made by earlier scholars, e.g. Brodaeus; nor conjectures which Scaliger himself later obliterated. Even with these restrictions, however, a complete account includes some conjectures which are very poor in quality, and it may at least be said in Barnes's defence that he ignored these. On the other hand, the list chiefly reveals Scaliger as the author of a large number of conjectures made independently by, or attributed to, later scholars, not only Barnes; and some few attributions to him of conjectures properly belonging to others can also be corrected.

For economy of space I use these abbreviations:

S¹ = Scaliger in his ed. Hervagiana

 $S^2 = Scaliger in his ed. Canteriana$

B. = (according to) Barnes in his edition

Matt., Weck., Murr. = attributions by Matthiae, Wecklein, Murray in their editions.

The order of plays and numbering of lines are those of Murray; lemmata too are taken from Murray unless otherwise stated. An asterisk against a line-number means that *apparatus critici* need correction in any one of the following ways: (1) Scaliger's conjecture has been misreported, appropriated, or falsely attributed; (2) a conjecture by Scaliger so far unreported has been made subsequently by, or attributed to, another scholar: I give his name in brackets; (3) Scaliger's name should be restored or removed for some other reason.

Cyclops 49–50 οὐ τάδε νέμη οὔτ' οὖν κλιτὺν δροσεράν ed. Cant.] νέμη . . . κλιτὺς δροσερά vel ἂν κλιτὺν δροσεράν S^2 53 'melius στασιωρῶν' S^1 (-ός S^2

- ¹ Some dozen or so conjectures in the ed. Hervagiana correspond with conjectures later published by Canter. Most of them deal with trivial errors, so that the correspondence is likely to be accidental—but perhaps Scaliger communicated some of his early marginalia to Canter?
- ² In the early part of the book a hand has written 'Scal.' after some of these conjectures.

It seems that T. Gaisford examined them cursorily when he was editing the Oxford 1811 reissue of J. Markland's Supplices,

Iphigenia in Aulide et Iphigenia in Tauris: he recorded Scaliger's conjecture at IT 359, for example, and this duly passed into Matthiae's apparatus (but not Wecklein's).

- ³ A considerable number of Scaliger's conjectures which Barnes disguised under 'alii', 'alias', etc. (but did at least record) were not repeated by Matthiae—and so not by Wecklein or Murray.
- ⁴ Attributions are taken from Matthiae, Wecklein, or Murray.

= Stephanus) 56 σποράς underlined by S2, but no conjecture made 235 καὶ κατατέμοντες μέσον vel κάπ' ομφαλον μέσον also S2: B., Weck. report only κάς τον ομφαλόν μέσον *237 ἀποτρέψειν S^2 (= Fix) *244 πλήσωσι S² ('al.' B.) *245 ἔδοντι S² ('al.' B.) 250 γ' έκ] γάρ S2 *274 $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu$] $\pi \lambda \epsilon o \nu$ S² (= Hermann, cf. $\pi \lambda \epsilon i \omega$ Musgrave: $\pi o \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega}$ ed. Cant.) but S^2 read $\tau \circ \hat{v} \delta \epsilon$ (= L) in 273 280 of S2 *299 ἐπιστρέφου S1 (= Duport, with είς λόγους: Β., Weck. report νόμους δε θνητῶν είς σοφούς ἀποστρέφου S²) $365 \, a\nu$ underlined, in margin $av\tau\mu\eta \, S^{\text{I}}$ 410 ἄμπεσε *448 σφίγξαι S² φάρυγγος SI *417 ἔσπασεν] ἐξέσπασ' S² ('al.' B.) ('al.' B.) 515 χρόα γ', ώς S1, χρόα χ' ά S2 *513 ύμᾶς S² ('al.' B.) 516 S² seems to have wanted $\tilde{a}\nu\tau\rho\omega\nu\langle\tilde{\epsilon}\xi\epsilon\iota\rangle$ *588 πεπωκότι S^2 (= Casaubon); a later hand added - q̂s i.e. Casaubon's ἐντρυφα̂s.

Alcestis 37 αὐτῆς S^1 *199 ττενάξει' οἶσιν S^2 (silently printed by B.) 553 σε S^1 *643 ὁ τηλίκος S^1 (= Duport) *724 γέροντι S^2 (= Voss) *1156 βωμούς] όδοὺς S^2 (= Voss; 'al.' B.).

Heraclidae *Index pers. Άκάμας, κωφὸν πρόσωπον added by S2, referring to vs. 119 (= Nauck)14 έξέδρα μιν S^{I} and S^{2} (= Duport) 161 χαλυβικοῦ ed. Cant.] obelized by S^2 *172 εἰ τοῦτο ed. Herv., ed. Cant.] $\epsilon \ddot{l}\langle \pi \epsilon \rho \rangle \tau o \hat{v} \tau o S^{I}$ (= Barnes: from Duport?), $\epsilon \dot{l} \tau \langle o \iota \rangle o \hat{v} \tau o S^{2}$ 223 έν τε underlined, in margin $\delta \nu$ (i.e. $\delta \nu \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \iota$) S² 282 κεκτοίμεθα S² (cf. -ήμεθα Brunck, $-\dot{\omega}\mu\epsilon\theta\alpha$ Hermann) *526 δή κακή πρέποι S² (correct Weck.'s "καὶ 582 ὄσου S² πρέποι S"; κἂν Elmsley before Hartung) 583 σφραγίζεται S2 *585 $\tau \iota \mu \hat{a} \tau \epsilon S^2$ (= Portus; appropriated by B.) 611 ἄλλου γ ' ed. Cant.] ἄλλαν γ ' S² (cf. ἄλλαν $[\gamma']$ Seidler) μεγίστων S2 *622 $\pi\rho\delta$ S² ($\pi\rho\delta$ τ ' silently B.) 684 έστ' εν όψει] εστίν όξύ \$2 *761 πολυαίνετον S¹ (= Canter; 'Brodaeus ut susp. Elmsley' Weck.) δορύσοντα ed. Herv.] δόρυ σὸν γᾶ S¹ 778 οὐδὲ κεύθει ed. Cant.] οὐδ' ê κεύθει S² 805 εΐα ed. Herv.] ἔα S¹; ήκεις ἔπηλυς, τήνδε γαῖαν οὐκ ἔλα S², but he later scratched out $\xi \pi \eta \lambda v_S$ 930 ήσσω S².

Hippolytus Index pers. θεράπωινα changed to θεράπων S^2 , referring to 115 φρονοῦντες *149 χέρσου S^2 (= Voss; 'al.' B.) 449 διδοῦσα ροῦν S^1 *661 πατρὶ συνμολών (sic) S^2 ("πατρὶ συνελθών S^2 B.; cf. πατρὶ σὺν μολών Voss) 737 πορφυροῦν S^2 *761 ἐκδήσατο S^2 (= Voss) 821 με νοῦν S^1 938 'melius ἄνδρα viritim' S^2 .

Andromache *53 τίνειν S¹ (= Barnes) *195 ὑπερθεῖν S² (= Portus) *441 ὑπόπτερον S¹ (= Duport) *476 συνεργάταιν S¹ (= Duport) 538 ὡς κῦμα λιταῖς ἰκετεύων S² *650 τῆδ' S² (= Voss), comparing 710, where he made the same conjecture *799 πόνω S² (= Voss) *1120 correct Murr.: S² made the bare conjecture κρούει δὲ, with no reference to schol. *1132 ἤνν' S² ('al.' B.) *1143 ὑφ' αὐτῶν S² (= Voss) *1246 τῶν S² (appropriated by B.) *1279 κἦτ' οὖν S¹ and S² (appropriated by B.).

Hecuba 69 αἰωροῦμ' S¹ and, comparing 32, S² 110 $\delta \tau \iota$ S¹ and S²; also οΐδ' ὅτι Ѕ² 248 γε] νῦν S² 263 εκδίκως S2 *355 ἐπίβλεπτος S² (=Voss)398 όποια; κισσός δρυός όπως κτλ. \$2 *457 ἔχουσ' ἐν S² (= Voss)520 $\tau \epsilon \gamma \gamma \omega S^{I}$ and S^{2} 605 μοι] μιν S² 752 τῶν τε γουνάτων S² *842 $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \chi \epsilon_S S^2$ (= O and P ante ras.) 860 одк ἔστι S² *1029 οὐ ξυμπιτνεῖ ed. Cant.] ὀξὰ πιτνεῖ (= Voss) vel ὀξὰ πιτνῶ S^2 (reported as "πείνει (sic)" by Matt.) *1039 μ ' ἐκφύγητε S^2 (= Voss; appropriated

by B.) 1042 ἐπεσπεύσωμεν S¹, ἐπενπέσωμεν S² 1066 ποι παι vel πα S², comparing 1076 1256 δ' αὖ με vel δ' ήμᾶς S1. Supplices *Index pers. $\pi \alpha \hat{i}_S$ $A\theta \eta \nu \hat{a}$ add. S^{I} (= Markland), $\pi \alpha \hat{i}_S$ add. S^{2} *70 νέκυν S² ('al.' Β.) *73 какаі S² ('alias' B.) *74 ξυναλγηδόνες *90 $\mu\eta\tau\epsilon\rho$ S² (= Wecklein) χοροῦ S² ('alias' B.) 109 οίδ'] οὐκ S2 *221 δόντων S^2 ('al.' B., = Markland; B. reported only λώντων for S^2) 243 φελούμενοι ed. Cant.] κηλούμενοι, φηλούμενοι S² 259 καταστεφη 351 προσδούς S¹ (and S²: reported by B.) S¹ (and S²: reported by B.) *364 $\alpha \hat{v} \tau o \hat{v}$ S² (= Markland; silently printed by B.) 366 κλύετε τάδε 375 φιλίαν S² *392 $\epsilon \vec{v} \tau \rho \epsilon \pi \dot{\eta} s$ S² (= Valckenaer) νε κλύετ' S2 436 ταὔθ'] πάνθ' S² 479 γάρ αὖ κάκιστος S¹ *495 ΰβρις οΰς S1 *510 lacunam post vs. indic. S² (= Nauck) 633 πύλαν \$2 (= Barnes)*717 κἀποκαυλίζων S^{I} (= Canter) *754 $\dot{\omega}$ ' $\gamma \dot{\omega} \nu$ S² (= Barnes and, sec. Matt., ed. Brubachiana ?1558; άγων rectius Porson) 843 νοοῦσιν \$2 872 τὸν δὲ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon$ S^2 *852 & 'ya θ ós S^I and S² (= Milton) πρός τε μαλθακόν \$2 *898 $\epsilon \phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \nu$ S² (appropriated by B.; = Grotius) *978 νοτεράν (silently printed by B.) *991 $\tau \acute{a} \lambda \omega s$ S¹ (= Duport); (ἐδιφρεύετο) τ' ἄλιος S^2 *1000 πέλας S^1 (= Canter) *1115 φέρετε ed. Cant. in text, φέρεται Canter in his Addenda: S² copied it into the margin from there, but was credited with the correction by B. *1144 κλύοις S² ('al.' B.).

Hercules Furens Index pers. χορός γερόντων post Μέγαρα add. S1, χορός έκ γερόν-3 τὸν πατέρα δ' S2 27 Δίρκης ὅτ' ἦν πάροιθεν SI *43 μήτρωος S² (silently printed by B.) *63 ἀπηλαύθην S² (silently printed *107 S² put a mark after $\delta \epsilon \mu \nu \iota \alpha$ and in the margin "sc. $\lambda \iota \pi \omega \nu$ ": this may be either a gloss or a conjectural supplement ("(λιπών) δέμνια S" B. and (*149 a later hand in the ed. Cant. has written all subsequent edd.) in Milton's σοὶ $\langle \pi \alpha \hat{\imath} \delta \alpha \rangle$ $Z \epsilon \hat{\nu} \hat{\imath} \hat{\imath} \epsilon \kappa ο i \nu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \hat{\imath} \hat{\imath} \hat{\imath} \hat{\imath}$. B. appropriated this for himself and 'nonnullis aliis') 474 ὄντας ⟨αὐτοὺς⟩ S¹ *549 ἐνήμμεθα S² *611 τρίκρανον S^2 , comparing 1277 (= Pierson) (= Kirchhoff)*629 ff. πέπλων. / ὄσω δὲ (not, as B., τοσῶδε) μᾶλλον ὧδ' ἔβητ' ἐπὶ ξυροῦ, / ἄξω λαβών γε· τούσδ' κτλ. S² *895 τὰς διονυσιάδας . . . λώβας ed. Cant.] τᾶς διονυσιάδος (= L, ed. Aldina) . . . λοιβᾶς S^2 (B. passed off λοιβᾶς as his own *942 τίς (δ') S2 ('viri docti' B.: 'Barnes' Weck., Murr.) conjecture) *953 $\epsilon i \rho \pi$ S² (appropriated by B.) 959 πορπασμάτων S2 977 f. κίονος, κύκλω / τόρνευμα δεινον ποδός S² 988 ἀποκτείνοις S^2 (-εις ed. *1003 λόφ ω ed. Herv.] λυσσ $\hat{\omega}$ ν S¹; $\hat{\epsilon}$ πιλόφ ω κάρ α (= Wakefield) *1020 $\tau \delta \delta$ S¹ (= Duport; cf. $\tau \dot{\alpha} \delta$) S², comparing *El.* 55, 778, *Pho.* 1158 1036 σωρεύμαθ' S1 *III5 ἄγαν $\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$ (= Duport) $\tau \iota \varsigma$, ϵi *1216 ἴδ' οὐ σκότος S¹ (= Duport) $πάθοι (= Canter), καταστένοι <math>S^{I}$ 1386 êv] ŵv S² *1232 $\tau i \delta$ ov; S^{I} (= Barnes) 1393 ἀθλία S2. Ion *40 ὁρᾶθ' S² ("ὁρῷθ' S" B.: this is Canter's conjecture, in his Addenda) 337 ἀργοῖ (ut vid.) ἡ $\theta \epsilon \acute{a}$ S² *378 âv is 265 $d\lambda n\theta \dot{\epsilon}s$ (ut vid.) S^2 Stephanus's conjecture (see Canter's Addenda): S2 copied it 389 'Scaliger notavit [versum laborare] sed nihil auxilii dedit' B.: S2 marked a lacuna (= Victorius) *390 $\partial \lambda$ ov $\partial \alpha$ ∂ *415 $\langle \hat{\omega} \rangle$ S¹ (= 408 μάντευμά μ' S² 410 πότνα S¹, cf. 873 below 434 προσήκει τ'· οὐ γὰρ ἀλλὰ S² *463 παρὰ χορευομένω S² *483 δόρυ S¹ (= Gloël) *554 σ' del. S² (= Heath) Duport) (= Heath)* $632 \epsilon i \eta \tau' \epsilon \mu \omega \langle \gamma \epsilon \rangle S^{\text{I}} (= \text{Duport}; \text{cf. } \epsilon i \eta \delta' \epsilon \mu \omega \langle \gamma \epsilon \rangle \text{Lenting})$ 638 yooûww

 S^{I} ; $\tilde{\eta}$ yóois èvì (vid.) βροτών primitus S^{2} ("οὐ γόοισιν èν βροτοῖς S" recte B.) 708 καθαγίσας S² *641 $\ddot{\omega}\nu$ ed. Cant.] $\vec{\epsilon}\nu$ S² (= Hermann) έχουσι S² (silently printed by B.) 741 τίθη S¹ 755 $\tilde{a}\lambda\lambda$ ' $\hat{\eta}$ (vid.) primitus S^2 (cf. $d\lambda\lambda$ ' $\tilde{\eta}$ Musgrave), in $d\lambda\lambda\dot{\alpha}$ $\gamma\epsilon$ mut. *873 πότναν ἄνασσαν S^{I} 875 απονωσαμένη S1 890 ἄνθεσιν S1 (= Duport)*893 KPavγάν μ' ὧ μᾶτερ μ' ed. Cant.] κραυγᾶ μ' ὧ μᾶτερ [μ'] S² ("κραυγᾶ S" B.) *922 δισσοΐσι S¹ ('anon. ap. B.' Weck.) *1095 αροτον S2 (silently printed 1097 μύσιος vel μουσείας S² *II7I $\langle \tau \epsilon \omega_S \rangle$ in init. vs. S² (= *1187 $\eta \delta \epsilon \iota \nu S^2$ (= Pierson) Duport) 1177 ἄλλους S1 έν χερσίν $\check{\epsilon}$ χοντι δ $\dot{\epsilon}$ ed. Herv.] χερσ $\dot{\iota}$ ν $\dot{\epsilon}$ κχ $\acute{\epsilon}$ οντι δ $\dot{\epsilon}$ S¹ (= Barnes) 1200 δ' del. S² *1207 θνήσκουσα (ed. Herv.) [δ'] κάσπαίρουσα S^{I} (= Hartung); θνήσκει δέ *1388 ὑπερβαίην S² (appropriated by B.) καὶ θνήσκουσα (vid.) S² 1390 σύνδοθ' (vid.; fort. σύνδεθ') S^2 1423 κάκκρασπεδωτός vel κάκκρασπέδιστ' ὄφεσσιν SI 1428 τέκνον τρέφειν S2 1561 φασί ed. Herv.] *1591 $\langle \delta' \rangle$ o S² (appropriated by B.) τρέφουσι S1 1596 ἄνοσος *1607 ἐκδεξόμεσθα S^2 (= Reiske) λοχεύεις SI 1614 (τὸν) θεὸν μεταβαλοῦσά που S2.

Troades *37 δακρυρρέουσα S¹ (= Canter) 41 $\pi a \rho \theta \epsilon \nu o \nu$ $\pi a \rho o \iota \theta \epsilon \nu e d$. 120 δε χαύτη δέχ' αὐτη ed. Cant.: δέχου τοι S2 Herv.: τὴν πάρος S¹ *256 κλάδους S² ('al.' B.) 212 ἀντιάσω S1 313 έγαμουμένα ed. Herv.] έγαμούμαν vel γαμουμένα S¹ 322 f. ὑμέναιέ σοι παρθένον ed. Herv.] ύμέναιε καὶ παρθένον S¹ *361 ἀλλὰ τάδ' ἐάσω S² (silently printed by B.) *436 $\vec{\omega}\mu \circ \beta\rho \omega \circ \tau$ 'S² (Canter had divided $\vec{\omega}\mu \circ \beta\rho \circ \sigma$ ' $\vec{o}\rho \in \beta \circ \tau \circ \tau$) *593 vel καὶ Πρίαμε (pro πρεσβυγενὲς Πρίαμε ut vid.) vel πρεσβυγενὲς [Πρίαμε] (=*595 σχέτλιαι S^2 (= Wakefield) 618 δεύτερος δεσπότης 786 δè τοιαῦτα ed. Cant.] transp. S² *965 γὰρ τόδε ed. Cant.] καὶ pro γàρ S² ('audacter καὶ restitui' B.) *967 διαφθερεῖ σε S^2 (= Valcke-974 $\pi o \tau \epsilon \mid \pi o \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \mid S^2$ 998 $d\gamma \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ (sic) S² *1196 σως S1 *1211 $\theta\eta\rho\dot{\omega}\mu\epsilon\nu$ ov S², marking lacuna after the vs. (= (= Canter)Hermann; between οὖκ and ϵ's Wecklein) 1260 ofs S1 *1273 ήδη $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \ \hat{\epsilon} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \ \text{ed. Cant.}] \ \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \hat{\iota} \ \text{pro } \mathring{\eta} \delta \eta \ S^2 \ \text{(silently printed by B.)}.$

Electra 79 apoupav S² 103 $\tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \delta$ 'S² *222 κτάνοιμ' αν S² (silently *624 $\epsilon \lambda \pi i \delta$ ' S² (silently printed by B.) printed by B.) $a \dot{v} \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ ed. Cant.] $a \dot{v} \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ obelized, S^2 *686 $\pi a \lambda a i \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} s$ S² (= Valckenaer) *769 γ ' del. S² (= Milton) 770 ταῦτα γοῦν S² (775 είς δίκρουν \$2. noted by B.: Murr. cites only Lenting's ἐς δίκροον) *815 $\hat{\epsilon} \nu$ S² (= Mus-*912 $\pi\rho\delta\sigma\theta\epsilon$ S² (silently printed by B.) *961 $\mu\dot{\eta}$ ' $\sigma\delta\eta$ S² grave) (appropriated by B.) (*1026 correct Murr.: συγγνώστ' αν ην is Canter's, in his Addenda: S2 copied it, as B. noted) 1258 Άρεος (ed. Cant.) ὄχθος τις S2 *1348 νεῶν (= Triclinius, Grotius) . . . ἐνάλους (= Grotius) S² (silently printed by B.).

Iphigenia in Tauris 35 οθεν Θόας (vid.) S² *58 vs. obelo not. S^I, &v coni. S1, ους S2 (with Canter's βάλωσ' ἐμαί: B. appears to appropriate both con-118 χωρεῖν χρεών S¹ (and S²: noted by B.); also χώρει μέτρων jectures) and χωρίς νεκρῶν SI 189 $\hat{\eta}\nu$] τίν' ed. Cant.: ἔπτη (with ἐκ τῶν κτλ.) S² *215 $\hat{\epsilon}\pi\iota\beta\hat{a}\sigma a\nu$ S^I (= Canter) 190 ἀρχά] ἄχεα S¹ *232 τάλος ed. Herv.] $d\tau a\lambda o\nu$ S^I (= Duport) 359 \mathcal{H} μ ' S¹ (recorded for Scaliger by Gaisford, cf. Matt. on 349, but ignored by Weck.) *572 θείοις S² (correctly reported by B., but ascribed to Barnes by Matt. and later edd.) *692 $\lambda \dot{\nu} \in V$ S² (= Voss, Elmsley) *754 $\kappa \alpha \kappa \hat{\omega}_S S^2 (= Kirchhoff)$

811 verba ἄκουε . . . τάδε obelo not. S² *947 $\langle \mu' \rangle$ où $\delta \epsilon is S^2$ (appropriated by *952 αὐτῶν S² (correctly noted by B., Weck.: 'coni. Hermann' B.) Murr.) *955 κάγων' ἐλέγξαι S² (silently printed by B.) 'nή S¹ *1076 $\dot{\epsilon}\xi \ \dot{\epsilon}\mu o\hat{v} \ \gamma \epsilon \ S^{I} \ (= \text{Duport, Milton})$ *1116-17 βωμούς γε μηλοθύτας ζητοῦσαν, τὰν διὰ κτλ. S² ("ζητοῦσα τὰν S" Β.) *1255 νέμων] $\epsilon \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ed. Herv.: $\epsilon \hat{v} i \omega \nu$ S^I (= Duport) 1259 $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \hat{v}$ S^I (and S²: noted by B.) $l\dot{\omega}v$] $\delta\iota\dot{\omega}v$ (sic) S^{I} 1271 παιδνον ελιξεν] ψεδνον ελεξ' ed. Herv.: εκραξε δεινόν \$1 (*1310 correct Murr.: $\epsilon i \eta s$ is Canter's in his Addenda, which S² copied, as B. noted) 1350 πρώρα στείχον vel πρώρας είχον S¹, (πρώρας) $\epsilon \hat{i} \rho \gamma \rho \nu \text{ primitus } S^2, \text{ in } \epsilon \hat{i} \lambda \kappa \rho \nu \text{ mut. (only this noted by B.)}$ *1300 ίερίαν S1 and, comparing 34, S2 (silently printed by B.).

Helena (for S² at 131, 601 ἔχον, 914, 924, 1150, and 1374, where Barnes missed or concealed his conjectures, see Kannicht) *276 τὰ] τῶν S² ('al.' B.) 356-8 B. reports S² accurately (ἐλάσω . . . σαρκός . . . συριγγαοιδῶ) but omits his transfer of ἄμιλλα to follow 358 Πριαμίδας 378 θὴρ ά 'ν λάχνα S¹ and S² *398 ἀσμένως S² (silently printed by B.) *404 B. wrongly reported S2's gloss ἐπιδημίας (on ἐπιδρομάς) as a conjecture 533 πλάνη (vid.) S² 570 νυκτιφάντου (vid.) S² *621 $\sigma \hat{\omega}$ S¹ (= Milton) *687 ἐμὰν (= Portus) . . . δύσγαμον (Brodaeus) S¹ 751 άλλὰ] καίτοι S¹ *818 μων γνώσεταί μ' δς <math>S^I (= Duport) *834 S² put a stop after $\epsilon i \eta s$ but Barnes deleted $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$ (cf. though B.'s note: 'interpungo etiam ad $\epsilon i \eta s$ ' particulam vero $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$ post $\tau \hat{\eta} \nu$ penitus delendam duxi') *905 πλοῦτός ⟨ἐστ'⟩ S² (silently printed by B.) *937 δακρύουσ' S² (= F. W. Schmidt) 953 τῆσδ' ένδημονείν S¹ *973 ἀνάγκασόν ποτ' S² (silently printed by B.) *****981 $\theta \eta \rho \hat{a}$ (vid.) S^{I} (= Canter) *1117 and 18 $\delta \sigma$ S¹ ut vid. (= Canter) *1155 κρίνει νιν ed. Cant.] κρίνειεν S2 ('al.' B.) 1158 έλαχον] έλιπον ed. *1163 φέρουσ' S^1 (= Canter) Herv.: ἔριπον S¹ *1220 ἔρρεις ⟨αὖ⟩ S^{I} (= Duport), $\langle \dot{\omega}_{S} \rangle$ $\ddot{\epsilon} \rho \rho \epsilon \iota_{S} S^{2}$ (reported by B.) 1310 οτ' έζύγους S1 1321 πόνους | ταύρους (vid.) S² *1343 $\delta \eta o \hat{i} S^{I} (= Canter)$ βυρσοτενή S^{I} and S^{2} ('correxit Brodaeus in Hervag, altera [βυρσο-] et Canter' Kannicht—but $-\tau \epsilon \nu \hat{\eta}$ is purely Scaliger's) *1348 καλλίστα S² (καλλίστα . . . 1363 κύκλιος S¹ (and S², noted by B.) πρώτα Matthiae) 1443 λύπας ed. Herv.] πύλας S¹ 1480 στοιχάδες S1 1512 χάριστ' S¹ *1574 $\tilde{\epsilon}\zeta o\nu\theta$ ' S² (silently printed by B.) *1575 βόθια S¹ (= Pierson) 1670 oδ θ' S1.

Phoenissae Argum. (1) ln. *10 ἔσεσθαι S² (appropriated by B.; 'quod videntur habere duo codd. Taurinenses' Matt.) (2) ln. I $\tau \hat{\eta}$ del. S² ln. 8 έπεὶ καὶ παραπληρωματικόν post 10 δράματος transp. S² ln. 10 \ddot{o} $\tau \epsilon \epsilon \hat{n}$ 398 διεσάφισ' \$2 ἔτι ἐπὶ S² VS. 211 πνοαῖς del. S² *399 какŵv] *474 $\tau o \dot{v} \mu o \hat{v}$ S² (= Arnaldus) $\theta \epsilon \alpha i S^2 (= Voss)$ 478 ἀρχὴν S^{I} (cf. $d\rho\chi\dot{\eta}\nu$. . . $\lambda\alpha\beta\epsilon\hat{\iota}\nu$ Wecklein) 522 ίπποις S2 766 ἔργον 870 διαφοραί ed. Herv.] διαφθοραί (= codd.) and διαστροφαί S^{r} S^{I} *926 σιωπήσαιμζι δ') ἄν S² ("σιωπήσαιμι δή S" Β.) *950 λαβών S¹ (= 1139 έκὰς τὰ S¹ 1384 ύπερσχόντ' \$2 1754 $\hat{\omega}$ $\hat{\omega}$ $\hat{\eta}$ ed. Cant.] $\vec{\epsilon} \nu \not \vec{\psi}$ S² (and $\delta \pi \eta$, noted by B.).

Orestes 1382 ἴδας $\langle \tau \rangle$ όρος S^1 *1385–6 ὀρνιθογόνου . . . κυκνοπτέρου S^1 (κυκνοπτέρου coni. Barnes).

Iphigenia in Aulide *77 δρόμω] μόνος ed. Herv.: μένος S^{I} ut vid. (= Matthiae) *145 μή τοι S^{2} ('al.' B.; = Voss, Heath) 186 'potius δρμωμένα' S^{I} *430 ἤτοι S^{2} (= Voss) 449 ἄνολβα] ἄπαντα ed. Herv.: ἄπανδα (sic) S^{I} (cf.

ἀπαυδᾶ Voss) *495 στρατεία S¹ and S² (claimed by B.) *506 Mevéλεως S² ('al.' B.; 'Barnes' Weck., Murr.) 554 μετρίας S² τρόποι S² ('al.' B.; 'Barnes' Weck., Murr.) 657 θέλω. τόδ' έθέλειν δ' SI (cf. τὸ δὲ θέλειν Barnes) *804 πύλαις S² ('al.' B.; = Voss) $\delta \epsilon \xi \iota \hat{a} s \ \sigma \hat{\eta} s \ S^2$ (silently printed by B.) *932 παθοῦσα σχέτλια S² (silently *1003 ϵis] $\hat{\eta}s$ ed. Cant.: $\hat{\eta}\nu$ S² (= Voss sec. Matt.; = printed by B.) Heath sec. Gaisford; = Reiske sec. Weck.) *1160 $\omega\sigma\tau\langle\epsilon\ \sigma'\rangle\ S^1\ ut\ vid.$ 1189 οὐκ ἄρ' S¹ (= Canter)ΙΙ70 ὢνούμενα S² *1204 ύπώροφον not ὑπόροφον S² (correctly reported by B., Weck.: "-ω-imo-o-S" Matt.) *1264 ἀφροσύνη S^2 (= Voss) 1391 δίκαιον τῶδ'; ἆρ' \$2 τάναγκαῖά γε S¹ ('al.' B.; cf. τάναγκαῖά τε Reiske) *1567 ἔξωθε κολεῶν S^{I} ut vid. (= Pierson, Reiske) *1569 $\epsilon \beta \rho \epsilon \xi \epsilon$ S¹ ut vid. (= Weil) 1589 ής ραίνετ' ἄρδην αἵματι βωμός θεοῦ S1 1598 αἴρει S² (cf. αἴρει . . . 1599 χωρεί Pierson).

Rhesus *441 ἐπεζάρει S^1 (= Canter) 469 ἐχθρῶν ἐπειδὰν S^2 *881 λεω-φόρους S^2 (silently printed by B.) 884 lacuna between τ_l and φυτεύει (sie ed. Cant.) S^2 .

Rutherford College, University of Kent at Canterbury

C. Collard